<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3427</td>
<td>Clarify Wording for <code>cn_data_type</code></td>
<td>The description of &quot;cn_data_type&quot; contains the following definition: &quot;6 = String (SBC, standard ASCII encoded (ISO-8859-1 Latin), NULL terminated)&quot; This is inconsistent, as ASCII &amp; ISO-8859-1 Latin are not the same. It needs to be clarified which standard is meant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3545</td>
<td>Differences between MDF and ODS in Value Range Conversion for Integer Data Types</td>
<td>The following incompatibilities between MDF and ODS have been identified when using MDF files in ODS servers as external components: a) Chapter 5.17.8 and 5.17.10 of MDF specify a slightly different behavior depending on whether 'Int' is an integer or a floating point number. b) Chapter 5.17.8 specifies for integer values of 'Int': &quot;If key_min[i] &lt;= Int &lt;= key_max[i], then Phys = value[i]&quot; and further down: &quot;The key ranges must not overlap, i.e. key_max[i-1] &lt;= key_min[i] for all 0 &lt; i &lt; n.&quot; This may lead to a valid configuration of the CCBLOCK with key_max[i-1] = key_min[i] for some i, while value[i-1] differs from value[i]. In such case 'Phys' is not uniquely defined if a value 'Int' happens to be key_min[i] and must be converted. Such situation may thus lead to different results from different tools. The same issue exists in chapter 5.17.10, where link[i] is specified instead of value[i].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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